

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff/
Respondent,

vs.

KEN ANDREW WORKMAN,

Defendant/
Petitioner.

No. CR-F-05-056 OWW

ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S
REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF
COUNSEL TO APPLY FOR
PRESIDENTIAL PARDON

On March 7, 2008, Petitioner moved for appointment of
counsel to represent him for the purpose of applying for a
presidential pardon.

Petitioner cites no authority supporting the appointment of
counsel for such a purpose and the Court can find none.
Statutory and case authority establishes that Petitioner is not
entitled to appointed counsel for the purpose of applying for a
presidential pardon. 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(c) provides: "A person
for whom counsel is appointed shall be represented at every stage

1 of the proceedings from his initial appearance before the United
2 States magistrate judge or the court through appeal, including
3 ancillary matters appropriate to the proceedings. In
4 *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987), the Supreme
5 Court held that the right to counsel "extends to the first appeal
6 of right, and no further."

7 Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel is DENIED.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: March 11, 2008

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE